

### Nanomechanical Quantum Sensors

Junho Suh

Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science

KRISS 한국표준과학연구원 Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science

# Quantum vs. Classical



\* "Decoherence and the Transition from Quantum to Classical" by Wojciech H. Zurek

# How to Use Quantum Mechanics?



\* "Decoherence and the Transition from Quantum to Classical" by Wojciech H. Zurek

# Quantum technology: the second quantum revolution

Jonathan P. Dowling and Gerard J. Milburn

Published: 20 June 2003 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2003.1227

#### Abstract

We are currently in the midst of a *second quantum revolution* The first quantum revolution gave us new rules that govern physical reality. The second quantum revolution will take these rules and use them to develop new technologies. In this review we discuss the principles upon which quantum technology is based and the tools required to develop it. We discuss a number of examples of research programs that could deliver quantum technologies in coming decades including: quantum information technology, quantum electromechanical systems, coherent quantum electronics, quantum optics and coherent matter technology. "superposition" and "entanglement"

# Quantum Technologies



\* Quantum Technologies Flagship Intermediate Report (2017).

#### Quantum sensing

C. L. Degen

Department of Physics, ETH Zurich, Otto Stern Weg 1, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

#### F. Reinhard<sup>\*</sup>

Walter Schottky Institut and Physik-Department, Technische Universität München, Am Coulombwall 4, 85748 Garching, Germany

#### P. Cappellaro<sup>‡</sup>

Research Laboratory of Electronics and Department of Nuclear Science & Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

(published 25 July 2017)

"Quantum sensing" describes the use of a quantum system, quantum properties, or quantum phenomena to perform a measurement of a physical quantity. Historical examples of quantum sensors

# Quantum Sensing

- (I) Use of a quantum object to measure a physical quantity (classical or quantum). The quantum object is characterized by quantized energy levels. Specific examples include electronic, magnetic or vibrational states of superconducting or spin qubits, neutral atoms, or trapped ions.
- (II) Use of quantum coherence (i.e., wavelike spatial or temporal superposition states) to measure a physical quantity.
- (III) Use of quantum entanglement to improve the sensitivity or precision of a measurement, beyond what is possible classically.

\* C. L. Degen et.al, "Quantum sensing", Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 035002 (2017).

# Quautum Sensing

Implementation	Qubit(s)	Measured quantity(ies)	Typical frequency	Implementation	Qubit(s)	Measured quantity(ies)	Typical frequency
Neutral atoms				Superconducting circuits	5		
Atomic vapor	Atomic spin	Magnetic field, rotation, time/frequency	dc-GHz	SQUID <sup>c</sup> Flux aubit	Supercurrent Circulating currents	Magnetic field Magnetic field	dc-GHz dc-GHz
Cold clouds	Atomic spin	Magnetic field, acceleration.	dc-GHz	Charge qubit	Charge eigenstates	Electric field	de-GHz
		time/frequency		Elementary particles Muon	Muonic spin	Magnetic field	dc
Trapped ion(s)						C	
	Long-lived electronic state	Time/frequency Rotation	THz	Neutron	Nuclear spin	Magnetic field,	de
	Vibrational mode	Electric field, force	MHz			gravity	
Rydberg atoms				Other sensors			
	Rydberg states	Electric field	dc, GHz	SET <sup>d</sup>	Charge eigenstates	Electric field	dc-MHz
Solid-state spins (ens	embles)			Optomechanics	Phonons	Force, acceleration,	kHz–GHz
NMR sensors $NV^{b}$ center	Nuclear spins	Magnetic field	dc dc-GHz	Electromechanics		mass, magnetic field, voltage	
ensembles	Election spins	electric field, temperature, pressure, rotation		Interferometer	Photons, (atoms, molecules)	Displacement, refractive index	

\* C. L. Degen et.al, "Quantum sensing", Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 035002 (2017).

# (Nano) Mechanical Sensors



# (Nano) Mechanical Sensors



\* Kurizki *et.al, PNAS* **112**, 3866 (2015).

#### Example: Nano-Beam Resonators





#### Eigenmode of vibration = Harmonic oscillator





MHz ~ GHz

# **Euler-Bernulli Equation**





# Equation of Motion

$$EI\frac{\partial^4 U(y,t)}{\partial y^4} + \rho A\frac{\partial^2 U(y,t)}{\partial t^2} = f(y,t)$$

• Separation of variables; normal modes  $\varphi_n(y)$ 

$$U(y,t) = \sum \varphi_n(y)q_n(t)$$

• Consider homogeneous case, i.e. f(y, t) = 0

$$\frac{\partial^4 \varphi_n(y)}{\partial y^4} - \beta_n^4 \varphi_n(y) = 0; \ \frac{\partial^2 q_n(t)}{\partial t^2} + \omega_n^2 q_n(t) = 0; \\ \beta_n^4 = \frac{\rho_A}{EI} \omega_n^2$$

Integrate Euler-Bernulli equation

$$m_n \ddot{q_n} + k_n q_n = \int_0^l f(y,t) \,\varphi_n(y) dy; \ m_n = \rho A l \int_0^l (\varphi_n(y))^2 dy; \ k_n = \frac{EI}{l^3} \int_0^l (\partial^2 \varphi_n(y) / \partial y^2)^2 dy$$

# Equation of Motion

• For the fundamental mode shape  $\varphi_0(y)$ , the displacement u(t) at  $y = y_0$  under uniformly distributed force f(t) satisfies, (F(t) = total force)

$$m_{eff}\ddot{u} + k_{eff}u = F(t)$$

$$m_{eff} = \frac{\rho A l \int_0^l (\varphi_0(y))^2 dy}{\varphi_0(y_0) \int_0^l \varphi_0(y) dy}; k_{eff} = \frac{\frac{E I}{l^3} \int_0^l (\partial^2 \varphi_n(y) / \partial y^2)^2 dy}{\varphi_0(y_0) \int_0^l \varphi_0(y) dy}$$

• Damping can be included:

$$m_{eff}\ddot{u} + m_{eff}\gamma\dot{u} + k_{eff}u = F(t)$$

• In frequency domain:

$$u(\omega) = \frac{F(\omega)/m_{eff}}{(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2) + i\frac{\omega\omega_0}{Q}}; Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma}$$

# Example of Nano-Beam

• Fixed ends + zero-slope at the ends



$$\omega_n = a_n \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho} \frac{t}{l^2}} (a_n = 6.47, 17.9, 35.0, \dots)$$
\* Foundation

#### Center of mass displacement: x(t)



$$m_{eff}\ddot{x} + m_{eff}\gamma\dot{x} + k_{eff}x = f(t)$$

with 
$$f(t) = F(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$$
,  $x(t) = X(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$ :  
 $X(\omega) \cong \frac{F(\omega)/(m_{eff}\omega_0)}{2(\omega_0 - \omega) + i\gamma}$   
 $(\omega \approx \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{eff}}{m_{eff}}} \gg \gamma)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Maximum amplitude ("resonance") when  $f(t) = F \cos \omega_0 t$ 

$$x(t) = X \sin \omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma}}{k_{eff}} \sin \omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot Q}{k_{eff}} \sin \omega_0 t$$



$$x(t) = X\sin\omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma}}{k_{eff}}\sin\omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot Q}{k_{eff}}\sin\omega_0 t$$

May. 19. 2022

 $\omega_0$ 

Center of mass displacement: x(t)

$$m_{eff}\ddot{x} + m_{eff}\gamma\dot{x} + k_{eff}x = f(t)$$

with 
$$f(t) = F(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$$
,  $x(t) = X(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$   
 $X(\omega) \cong \frac{F(\omega)/(m_{eff}\omega_0)}{2(\omega_0 - \omega) + i\gamma}$   
 $(\omega \approx \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{eff}}{m_{eff}}} \gg \gamma)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Maximum amplitude ("resonance") when  $f(t) = F \cos \omega_0 t$ 

$$x(t) = X\sin\omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma}}{k_{eff}}\sin\omega_0 t = \frac{F \cdot Q}{k_{eff}}\sin\omega_0 t$$

1) Force vs displacement

$$\delta X = \delta F \frac{\omega_0 / \gamma}{k_{eff}} = \delta F \frac{Q}{k_{eff}}$$

2) Resonance vs mass/spring constant

$$\delta\omega_{0} = \delta m_{eff} \frac{\omega_{0}}{2m_{eff}} \text{ or } \delta k_{eff} \frac{\omega_{0}}{2k_{eff}}$$

Center of mass displacement: x(t)



$$m_{eff}\ddot{x} + m_{eff}\gamma\dot{x} + k_{eff}x = f(t)$$

with 
$$f(t) = F(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$$
,  $x(t) = X(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$   
 $X(\omega) \cong \frac{F(\omega)/(m_{eff}\omega_0)}{2(\omega_0 - \omega) + i\gamma}$   
 $(\omega \approx \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k_{eff}}{m_{eff}}} \gg \gamma)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Maximum amplitude ("resonance"):

$$f(t) = F \cos \omega_0 t; \ x(t) = X \sin \omega_0 t = \frac{F_0 \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma}}{k_{eff}} \sin \omega_0 t$$

$$\delta X = \delta F \frac{Q}{k_{eff}}$$

- $\Rightarrow$  Maximum sensitivity in force measurement requires:
- 1) High Q (i.e. low dissipation)
- 2) High compliance (i.e. small mass)
- 3) Low measurement noise in  $\delta X$  (i.e. quantum-limited)

# Quantum limit



 $|\hbar\omega > k_B T|$ or

(zero-point energy overcomes thermal energy)

# Quantum limit

# $\hbar\omega > k_B T$



- Speed of sound ~  $10^4$  m/s
- Device temperature ~ 50 mK
- $k_B T \sim 4 \mu eV$  or 1 GHz
- $\therefore$  device length scale
- ~ (10<sup>4</sup> m/s) / (1 GHz) = **<u>100 nm</u>**

# Single phonon vs single photon

	phonon	photon
medium	solid	vacuum
nonlinearity	high	low
mass	m <sub>eff</sub>	zero
wavelength	Sub-micron	Micron or centimeter
Electric charge/dipole	possible	no
Magnetic dipole	possible	no

## Mechanical quantum sensor

# $\hbar \omega > k_B T$



- How to generate?
- How to apply them in sensing?
- How to hybrid with other quantum system?



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).



\* LaHaye, JS et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature 459, 960 (2009).

$$\hat{H} = \hbar \omega_{\rm NR} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + \frac{\Delta E}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z + \hbar \lambda (\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger}) \left( \frac{E_{\rm el}}{\Delta E} \hat{\sigma}_z - \frac{E_{\rm J}}{\Delta E} \hat{\sigma}_x \right)$$

 $\hbar |\lambda| \langle \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \rangle \ll |\Delta E - \hbar \omega_{\rm NR}|$  (dispersive coupling limit)



$$\frac{\Delta\omega_{\rm NR}}{2\pi} = \frac{\hbar\lambda^2}{\pi} \frac{E_{\rm J}^2}{\Delta E(\Delta E^2 - (\hbar\omega_{\rm NR})^2)} \langle \hat{\sigma}_z \rangle$$



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).

#### Nanomechanical probe of quantum coherence

"Landau-Zener Interference"



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).

### Quantum tomography of mechanical phonon



\* Satzinger et.al, "Quantum control of surface acoustic-wave phonons", Nature 563, 661 (2018).

### Example 2: Quantum optomechanical system

Mechanical oscillator coupled to photons

$$\widehat{H} = \hbar \omega_c \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} + \hbar \omega_m \widehat{b}^{\dagger} \widehat{b} + \hbar g \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} (\widehat{b}^{\dagger} + \widehat{b})$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$
photon mechanics interaction
or
"phonon"

- Quantum non-demolition measurement
- Quantum squeezing
- Ground state cooling
- Microwave-optical photon conversion
- Zero-point fluctuation of motion ...



\* Aspelmeyer et al., Phys. Today 65, 29 (2012).

= microwave resonator



= microwave resonator



$$\omega_c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{LC(x)}} \approx \omega_c(x=0) + \left(\frac{\partial \omega_c}{\partial x}\right) x$$

"cavity frequency shift per zero-point motion"

$$g \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \omega_c}{\partial x}\right) x_{zp}$$

= microwave resonator



**(U**)

$$\omega_c = \sqrt{\frac{1}{LC(x)}} \approx \omega_c(x=0) + \left(\frac{\partial \omega_c}{\partial x}\right) x$$

"cavity frequency shift per zero-point motion"

$$g \equiv \left(\frac{\partial \omega_c}{\partial x}\right) x_{zp}$$

$$\widehat{H} = \hbar \omega_c \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} + \hbar \omega_m \widehat{b}^{\dagger} \widehat{b} + \hbar g \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} (\widehat{b}^{\dagger} + \widehat{b})$$

<u>Photon</u>	<u>Phonon</u>	<u>Interaction</u>	
c = 5.4 GHz	$\omega_{\rm m}$ = 4 MHz	g = 14 Hz	
= 0.9 MHz	Γ <sub>m</sub> = 10 Hz		
	$x_{zp} = 2 \text{ fm}$		
		* <b>JS</b> et.al., <i>Science</i> <b>344</b> , 1262	(2014).



- Quantum non-demolition measurements
   JS et.al., Science 344, 1262 (2014).
- Quantum squeezing of motion
  Wollman, Lei, Weinstein, JS et.al., Science 349, 952 (2015).
  - Lei, Weinstein, **JS** *et.al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 100801 (2016).
## Microwave resonance



## Motion moves cavity resonance





## Photon-phonon coupling



## (Ideal) Detection of motion



May. 19. 2022

### Continuous position detection of harmonic oscillator



\* A. Clerk et.al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1155 (2010).

## Standard quantum limit



# of photons

\* A. Clerk et.al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1155 (2010).

### Quantum limit in gravitational-wave detectors

Braginsky<sup>6</sup> has pointed out that the above "quantum limits" on  $\Delta X_1$ ,  $\Delta X_2$ , and  $\Delta N$  pose serious obstacles for gravitational-wave detection: To encounter at least three supernovae per year, one must reach out to the Virgo cluster of galaxies. But gravitational waves from supernovae at that distance will produce  $|\Delta X_1| \simeq |\Delta X_2| \lesssim 0.3$  $\times [m/(10 \text{ tons})](\hbar/m\omega)^{1/2}$  in a mechanical oscillator on earth, corresponding to  $\Delta N \lesssim 0.4(N + \frac{1}{2})^{1/2} [m/(10 \text{ tons})]$ . For detectors of reasonable mass this signal is below the quantum limit.

\* K. S. Thorne *et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40**, 667 (1978).

# Evading quantum back-action (i.e. quantum non-demolition measurement)

"quadrature operators"

 $\widehat{X_1}(t) = \widehat{x}(t)\cos\omega t - \frac{\widehat{p}(t)}{m\omega}\sin\omega t; \ \widehat{X_2}(t) = \widehat{x}(t)\sin\omega t + \frac{\widehat{p}(t)}{m\omega}\cos\omega t$ i.e.  $\hat{x}(t) = \widehat{X_1}(t) \cos \omega t + \widehat{X_2}(t) \sin \omega t$  $[\widehat{X_1}, \widehat{X_2}] = \frac{i\hbar}{m\omega}$  $\Delta X_1 \cdot \Delta X_2 \ge \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \checkmark$  $\widehat{X_1}$  $\frac{d\widehat{X_1}}{u} = \frac{\partial\widehat{X_1}}{\partial t} - \frac{i}{t} \left[\widehat{X_1}, \widehat{H_{osc}}\right] = 0$ 

Quadrature conserves; no measurement back-action!

\* Braginskii *et.al., Sov. Phys. Usp.* **17**,644 (1975); Thorne *et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40**, 667 (1978). May. 19. 2022

## Evading quantum back-action



#### # of photons

\* A. Clerk et.al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1155 (2010).

## Evading quantum back-action



 $\omega_{c}-\omega_{m}$   $\omega_{c}$   $\omega_{c}+\omega_{m}$ 

$$\hat{H}_{int} \propto \hat{X}_1(1 + \cos 2\omega_m t) + \hat{X}_2 \sin 2\omega_m t$$

\* Braginskii *et.al., Sov. Phys. Usp.* **17**,644 (1975); Thorne *et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40**, 667 (1978). May. 19. 2022

## Experiments







\* JS et.al., Science 344, 1262 (2014).

## Experiments

*"back-action on ONE quadrature"* 

90 90 80 80 70 70 (X(\phi)^2)/x<sub>zp</sub><sup>2</sup> 9  $\langle X_2^2 \rangle_{ba}$ (x<sup>2</sup>)<sup>2</sup>, (x<sup>2</sup>)<sup>ba</sup> 8.5 dB 60  $\langle x^2 \rangle_{h}$ 50 50 10 10 00 40 40 O Non-BAE D O BAE 30 10<sup>5</sup> 10<sup>6</sup> 107 30 104 -π/2 π/2 0 π \$ (rad) n<sub>p</sub>

*"Evade quantum back-action by 8.5 dB"* 

\* JS et.al., Science 344, 1262 (2014).

# 10 min break

### Mechanical quantum sensor

## $\hbar \omega > k_B T$



- How to generate?
- How to apply them in sensing?
- How to hybrid with other quantum system?

### Example1: Quantum electromechanical system



\* LaHaye, **JS** et.al, "Nanomechanical measurements of a superconducting qubit", Nature **459**, 960 (2009).

May. 19. 2022

### Example 2: Quantum optomechanical system

Mechanical oscillator coupled to photons

$$\widehat{H} = \hbar \omega_c \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} + \hbar \omega_m \widehat{b}^{\dagger} \widehat{b} + \hbar g \widehat{a}^{\dagger} \widehat{a} (\widehat{b}^{\dagger} + \widehat{b})$$

photon mechanics interaction or "phonon"

- <u>Quantum non-demolition measurement</u>
- Quantum squeezing
- Ground state cooling
- Microwave-optical photon conversion
- Zero-point fluctuation of motion ...



\* JS et.al., Science 344, 1262 (2014).

## Ground state cooling of mechanical motion



## Reduction of mechanical motion (i.e. Cooling) $\hat{x}(t) = \widehat{X_1}(t) \cos \omega_m t + \widehat{X_2}(t) \sin \omega_m t$



## Squeezing "phonons" $\hat{x}(t) = \widehat{X_1}(t) \cos \omega_m t + \widehat{X_2}(t) \sin \omega_m t$



### Phase-dependent cooling



"Phase-dependent" reduction of mechanical motion (i.e. Squeezing)

 $\widehat{x}(t) = \widehat{X_1}(t) \cos \omega_m t + \widehat{X_2}(t) \sin \omega_m t$ 



# Arbitrarily large steady-state bosonic squeezing via dissipation



- Optimal ratio between red and blue power
- Squeezing beyond 3dB possible
- Steady state is squeezed thermal state
- State purity vs. squeezing

\* Kronwald *et.al. Phys. Rev. A* 88, 063833 (2014).

### Squeezing more than 3 dB



\* Lei, Weinstein, JS, Wollman, Kronwald, Marquardt, Clerk, Schwab, PRL 117, 100801 (2016).

May. 12. 2021

### KRISS QEM Lab









 $\omega_m$ = 2.6 MHz



### Niobium for better Cavity QEM sensor

#### Niobium cavity QEM works at higher temperatures magnetic fields.

	Aluminum	Niobium	The second se
Critical Temperature (Tc)	1.2K	9.26K	
Critical Magnetic Field(Hc)	0.01 T	0.82 T	
Density	2700 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	8570 kg/m³	The second find the second second
Young's modulus	70 Gpa	105 GPa	Freestanding membrane
Poisson ratio	0.35	0.4	
Advantages	<ul> <li>Easy to control the film stress</li> <li>Large zero point motion due to the small mass</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good mechanical properties</li> <li>High critical temperature and magnetic field</li> </ul>	
Disadvantages	Low critical temperature	Difficult to control the film     stress	Deformed membrane

\* J. Cha et.al., "Superconducting Nanoelectromechanical Transducer Resilient to Magnetic Fields", Nano Letters 21, 1800 (2021).

May. 19. 2022

### Niobium QEM at 4 K







### Back-action cooling at 4 K





- Cooling process accompanies with mechanical linewidth broadening
- Efficient cooling of mechanical mode temperature from 4.2 K to 76 mK

### Electromechanical induced reflection of microwave at 4 K



- Probe microwave interferes destructively with mechanical sideband from pump
- Reflection window

$$\Gamma_{\rm EMIR} = \Gamma_{\rm m} \left( 1 + \frac{4g_0^2 n_d}{\kappa \Gamma_m} \right) = \Gamma_{\rm m} (1 + C)$$

• Single photon coupling

$$g_0 \approx 3.3 \text{ Hz}$$

Cooperativity

$$C \approx 40$$

### Niobium QEM under magnetic field



- Magnetic field B affects the microwave resonance frequency and linewidth.
- EMIR persists even at 0.8 T.
- Cooperativity decreases as *B* increases due to the increasing cavity decay rate.
- Single-photon coupling rate is independent of magnetic field.

### Outlook: Niobium QEM for single spin control



**PNAS** 106, 1313-1317 (2009)



New J. Phys 21, 043049 (2019)





Nature Physics 6, 602-608 (2010)

### Nanowire for wider QEM sensing applications





### Nanomechanical characterization of quantum interference in a topological insulator nanowire







Minjin Kim



Kunwoo Kim

\*Nature Comm. 10, 4522 (2019)

May. 19. 2022

### Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> nanowire electromechanical resonator


### Bi<sub>2</sub>Se<sub>3</sub> nanowire electromechanical resonator





"quantum capacitance"  $C_Q = e^2 \cdot (\text{Density of States})$ 

\* Luryi, Appl. Phys. Lett.. **52**, 501 (1988).

### Capacitive tuning of mechanical resonance



"capacitive softening"

$$\delta k_{eff} \approx -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} V_g^2$$

• Total capacitance 
$$C = \frac{C_g C_Q}{C_g + C_Q}$$

- $C_Q \gg C_g$ ;  $C_g$  dominates softening
- $C_Q$ ,  $\partial C_Q / \partial x$ ,  $\partial^2 C_Q / \partial x^2$  modify  $\delta k_{eff}$

 $\Rightarrow$  Surface state  $C_q$  modulates mechanical resonance

### Surface states of topological insulator



- Conducting surface states with insulating bulk
- Topologically protected

#### 1D subband of TI nanowire surface





$$\varepsilon(n,k,\Phi) = \pm \hbar v_{\rm F} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{(n+1/2 - \Phi/\Phi_0)^2}{R^2}}$$

\* Bardarson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 156803 (2010).

#### 1D subband of TI nanowire surface



\* Bardarson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 156803 (2010).

May. 19. 2022

n+4

#### 1D subband of TI nanowire surface



$$\varepsilon(n,k,\Phi) = \pm \hbar v_{\rm F} \sqrt{k^2 + \frac{(n+1/2 - \Phi/\Phi_0)^2}{R^2}}$$

\* Bardarson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 156803 (2010).

\*  $\Delta f_0 = \Delta f_I + \Delta f_{II}$ 

#### Aharonov-Bohm conductance oscillation



Period =  $\Phi_0/(cross-section)$ 

Period =  $\Delta$ 

### AB oscillation of mechanical resonance frequency



Period =  $\Phi_0/(cross-section)$ 

Period =  $\Delta$ 

### Nanomechanical resonance shift





Kunwoo Kim\* (CAU)

\* previously at IBS

#### Nanomechanical resonance shift



### Nanomechanical microwave bolometer

#### Nanomechanical QEM detects heat from microwave photons.



Jihwan Kim (KAIST)

\* J. Kim et.al., "Nanomechanical Microwave Bolometry with Semiconducting Nanowires", Physical Review Applied **15**, 034075 (2021).

#### Bolometer = thermal radiation detector



#### Bolometer = thermal radiation detector



#### Bolometer = thermal radiation detector





Resistive nanowire dissipates microwave power



\* J. Kim *et.al.*, *Physical Review Applied* **15**, 034075 (2021).



Mechanical resonance signal comes from superconducting cavity



$$\overline{P} = \frac{P_m}{P_{pump}} = \frac{2(g_I^2 + g_{II}^2/4)}{\kappa^2} \langle x^2 \rangle$$

where  $g_I = \partial \omega_c / \partial x$  and  $g_{II} = \partial \kappa / \partial x$ 





$$\overline{P} = \frac{P_m}{P_{pump}} = \frac{2(g_I^2 + g_{II}^2/4)}{\kappa^2} \langle x^2 \rangle$$

where  $g_I = \partial \omega_c / \partial x$  and  $g_{II} = \partial \kappa / \partial x$ 



#### Nanowire controls cavity dissipation



\* J. Kim *et.al.*, *Physical Review Applied* **15**, 034075 (2021).

#### Nanomechanical resonance thermometer



#### Microwave-power-dependent nanomechanical resonance



#### Nanomechanical microwave bolometry



- "Noise equivalent power" NEP =  $4.5 \text{ pW/Hz}^{1/2}$
- Maximum detectable power ~ nW
- c.f. Josephson bolometer has NEP ~ aW/Hz<sup>1/2</sup> and maximum power ~ fW (ref. *Nature* 586, 42 (2020))

### Summary



"Quantum Sensing" : the use of a quantum system, quantum properties, or quantum phenomena to perform a measurement of a physical quantity nanomechanical resonator for superconducting qubit measurement



cavity electromechanics for quantum non-demolition measurement of motion



#### Nb cavity QEM

nplementation	Qubit(s)	Measured quantity(ies)	Typical frequency
Optomechanics Electromechanics	Phonons	Force, acceleration, mass, magnetic	kHzGHz
		field, voltage	



#### nanowire mechanics for quantum sensing





### Outlook

#### quantum transduction

#### entangled force sensors



\*Kotler et al., Science **372**, 622 (2021).



#### sensors for new physics







May. 19. 2022

TODARY 10 BELIEVE THE PART IN ANTICASY DESCRIPTION

## Hybrid Quantum Systems Team



developing nano electro-mechanical and hybrid quantum devices





Junho Suh

Seung-Bo Shim Byoung-moo Ann

Post-doc : Junghyun Shin, Jihwan Kim (KAIST SRC) Ph.D. student : Younghoon Ryu (KAIST SRC)



Minkyu Lee



## Hybrid Quantum Systems Team



developing nano electro-mechanical and hybrid quantum devices





Junho Suh

Seung-Bo Shim Byoung-moo Ann

Minkyu Lee

Post-doc : Junghyun Shin, Jihwan Kim (KAIST SRC) Ph.D. student : Younghoon Ryu (KAIST SRC)

> Hiring post-docs! contact: junho.suh@kriss.re.kr

#### Collaboration

- Chulki Kim, Jin Dong Song (KIST)
- Kunwoo Kim (IBS), Heechul Park (IBS), Heung-Sun Sim (KAIST)
- Jinhoon Jeong, Hyungsoon Choi (KAIST)
- Yong-Joo Doh (GIST), Dong Yu (UC Davis)
- Joon Sue Lee (U. Tennessee)
- Mann-Ho Cho (YU)
- \*\* Lei, Weinstein, Schwab, Roukes for the works at Caltech (2009,2014)



nst

국가과 막기술연구회

### Summary



"Quantum Sensing" : the use of a quantum system, quantum properties, or quantum phenomena to perform a measurement of a physical quantity nanomechanical resonator for superconducting qubit measurement



cavity electromechanics for quantum non-demolition measurement of motion



# Implementation Qubit(s) Measured quantity(ies) Typical frequency Optomechanics Phonons Force, acceleration, kHz-GHz Electromechanics mass, magnetic field, voltage

#### Nb cavity QEM



#### nanowire mechanics for quantum sensing

